Where's the Power?

(Acts 1:8; 2:1-8,12-21)

My text is from the first chapter of the Book of Acts. Jesus is saying goodbye to his disciples before he is taken up from them into heaven. And he says to them (in vs. 8), "You shall receive <u>power</u> when the Holy Spirit has come upon you; and you shall be my witnesses in Jerusalem and in all Judea and Samaria and to the end of the earth."

That is the greatest of all the great promises of God. "You shall receive power..". Now I know, strictly speaking one of God's promises is not greater than any other of God's promises. But to me this is the greatest because it is what I need most. You see, I'm a Presbyterian. I have order and decency up to here. But where's the power?

And this is the greatest of the five forms in which the Great Commission comes to us. "You shall be my witnesses.. to the ends of the earth." There is a form of this commissioning in each of the four gospels, Matthew, Mark, Luke and John. But this one in the book of Acts is the greatest. Now I know again that strictly speaking no one form of God's commissioning is greater than any other. But to me this is the greatest, because it is the one I need most. You see, I'm a Presbyterian. I have sentimental, upper middle class virtues up to here. But where's the power? Where's the power to propel us out of our comfortable, encapsulated churches and across the world? Our members are leaving; our missions declining. Where's the power? If power is what God promises, isn't that just what we all need most?

I. So let's begin with the promise.

"You shall receive power..." I'm not so sure that the disciples really believed that promise. They were too much like us. They were about as unrenewed, and powerless, and unfocussed and puzzled a little group as most of us American Christians. And like us Presbyterians they were losing members. A few days before they had been twelve. Now there were only eleven in the inner circle. That's even worse than Presbyterians.

About 40,000 a year, isn't it that we're losing? One out of every 80 or so. The disciples lost one out of twelve!

So, disturbed and anxious, they turned to Jesus. But the first question they asked was the wrong question. All they wanted to know was, "When does the revolution begin?" "When will you bring in the Kingdom?", and they were still thinking in political terms, which is all right in its place, but this was neither the place nor the time for that question and Jesus turned them away. "That is not for you to know," he said. But because he loved them, he gave them a promise, a promise centered in a different kind of kingdom. "You will receive power..when the Holy Spirit comes, and you will be my witnesses..to the ends of the earth." Then he left them.

He left them with many questions unanswered. He left them still unrenewed, still puzzled, still powerless. But isn't that how renewal always begins, Not with power for the ambitious and powerful, but with a promise of power for those who have never had power, or have lost it?

That is how it began in Korea about a hundred years ago. The Korean church is now celebrating a hundred years of Protestant Christianity. But in some ways those first Korean Christians weren't much to celebrate. One of the best of them was a converted saloon keeper, only half-converted,

or only partly renewed. Another was a 27-year-old student of the Chinese classics who fancied himself a philosopher and whose greatest religious experience had been a dream of the moon rising in his stomach. Still another was wrestling with the awful secret that he had taken a second wife before he was converted, and could not bear to leave her. They were like the disciples. They weren't much to boast about.

But the gospel is good news. It is grace for the sinful, not for those who think they have no sin. It is healing for the sick, release for captives, sight for the blind, peace for the troubled, justice for the down-trodden, renewal for empty hearts. And as at Jerusalem, it is power for the powerless.

Whether or not at that moment when Jesus was just about to leave them the disappointed little group of disciples believed the promise or not, they did the right thing. They trudged back down the hill into the city and "with the women, with one accord devoted themselves to prayer".

Gave themselves to prayer? Weren't there more important things to engage the attention of serious men and women that day. There were rumors of a conspiracy against the life of the emperor Tiberias in Rome,—a good time for a revolution— and of a threatened famine spreading in North Africa, and news that the German barbarians were raiding again across the Rhine. Most people still think that those are the kinds of issues that matter. And they do matter. But all the disciples did was go home and pray!

Prayer, says this secular world, is the Christian's escape from reality It's a "cop-out". That is what my wife was told at a Presbyterian meeting not long after we came back from Korea to America. She was speaking about the vitality and enthusiasm of the Korean Christians in prayer and

singing and personal witness, and one woman asked her, "What did you do for the people imprisoned by the government over there?" It was a good question. It needed to be asked, and it was not asked unkindly. But when Eileen paused and answered, "Well, there wasn't too much we could do. We thought many had been imprisoned unjustly, and we visited them when we could. And we prayed for them regularly." That was when someone whispered audibly, "Cop-out!".

Well, there are times when I, too, have been more tempted to revolution than to prayer. And apparently it was revolution the disciples were thinking about when they asked Jesus, "Lord will you at this time restore the kingdom to Israel?". But remembering how Jesus had answered them, instead of revolution they prayed. If you will forgive a personal word, when I was arrested in China during the revolution, and wondered what was going to happen to me, the knowledge that Christians were praying for me encouraged and sustained me far more than any hope I might have had that another revolution would come, a counter-revolution, and make everything all right.

Here is the paradox. Revolutions make the headlines. Prayer doesn't. But the world has forgotten about the plot against Tiberias that set tongues wagging in Jerusalem while the disciples prayed. It has forgotten the border raids across the Rhine. But it has never forgotten that credulous, leaderless, outcast little band that trudged down the hill into Jerusalem--and took the time to pray.

There was a time in Korea back about 80 years ago that missionaries and Korean Christians were so discouraged about the prospects for the future of the church there that they began to wonder if their twenty years of pioneering since 1884 had been all in vain. The country was losing

Christians, like the Laodiceans, seemed to have "lost the love they had at first". Then it was that a Canadian medical doctor called them not to give up but to pray. And the little prayer meetings and Bible studies he started were the beginnings of the great Korean Revival of 1904-08. What happened?

II. What happened was power.

This is my second point. What happens is that God keeps his promises. He promised power to the powerless disciples on the hill outside Jerusalem. They went back into the city and prayed, and the power came. Suddenly, at Pentecost, "a sound came from heaven like the rush of a mighty wind, and fire..." The Spirit came, and life flamed again within them, as in coals dropped from a fireplace, apparently dead but breaking open in a shower of sparks. The Spirit brought flame back into the blue, bleak hearts of the disciples. It lifted a dispirited little group of ordinary men and women and sent them out to begin to change the world--to Jerusalem, Judea, Samaria, and to the ends of the earth.

But I must confess that the record of that first Pentecost—all wind and fire and many tongues—is a disconcerting passage to read today in a group of Presbyterians like this. It smacks too much of hot gospellers and holy rollers and quakers and shakers and enthusiasts. It doesn't describe all that is best and most beautiful in Christian worship, does it? And yet the more I read of the history of the church, the more I am impressed with the fact that some of the most creative and effective periods in the church have been precisely those periods when the gospel was "hot" and not when it was most respectable.

If I were to pick my favorite spot in medieval Romanism I would choose the days of that gentle madman St. Francis of Assissi. And some of the greatest moments of Protestantism were in the times of Puritan enthusiasts and "great awakeners" like Jonathan Edwards. Too hot for Yale; I'm glad Presbyterian Princeton took him in. Quakers really quaked once, in the days of their intense beginnings; and when Methodism burned its way into the history of England and America, strong men and women roared and shook under the power of preachers like Wesley and Whitefield and Peter Cartwright. Long-haired dandies would come to Cartwright's camp-meetings. "They came to scoff," he wrote, "but they stayed to pray", and suddenly seized by the power their backs would bend almost to the breaking, then, the tension suddenly released by the peace of the Spirit, they would straighten up with such force that their long hair cracked like whips. Strange, bizarre, and to me, a little frightening.

But as someone has said, "The church of Christ has had more power when the world thought it was drunk, as at Pentecost, than when the world thought it was dead." And before we condemn the outer extravagances of those meetings it might be well to ask two questions. First, is all that excitement necessary for a renewal? And second, when it does occur what is the inward, quickening power that produces it?

The answer to the first question is No. Not all revivals are fiery, and renewal can be as quiet as an inner thought. Almost always revival and renewal begin with prayer, and the best prayers are usually quiet. The revival of 1857 in New York, for example, was just a prayermeeting. No fire. No shouting. As J. Edwin Orr described it, Jeremiah Lanphier, a city missionary in lower Manhattan "passed out handbills inviting anyone interested to join him from 12 to 1 on Wednesdays

for a prayermeeting. [They could] come for an hour or just five minutes. The first day six people came, the next day forty. Then [they made] it a daily instead of weekly meeting and within six months ten thousand business men were gathering [every day] for prayer in New York City. Within two years a million converts had joined American churches" (Orr 1965: 104, cited by Hazel Watson, p. 126). Just a prayermeeting, but what a prayermeeting!

The Great Revival in Korea was another matter. There was nothing low-key about that. It began with quiet prayermeetings, yes, but when it exploded into waves of wailing and weeping and writhing in agonies of confession, th missionaries were terrified. They stopped the meeting.

They were "frightened by the presence of a Power which could work..wonders", as one of my father's colleagues described it. (Blair, Gold in Korea, p. 64). But the meetings could not be stopped, they went on and on and gradually even the most traditional-minded missionaries recognized that however disturbing its manifestations might appear, the power was not to be feared, and could not be resisted. It came from God.

The fire was not the power. It was only an outward sign of the power. Shouting and weeping are not the power. Sometimes the Bible uses quieter words to describe the mighty power of God. Elijah is on the mount and the Lord passes by. "And a great and strong wind" shook the mount and broke the rocks, "but God was not in the wind; and after the wind an earthquake, but God was not in the earthquake;...a fire, but God was not in the fire; and after the fire, a still small voice, and when he heard it, Elijah hid his face in his mantle" for the still small voice was the voice of God. (I Kings 19:11-14 f.) There is no one way to describe the power. Sometimes it shakes and shouts. Sometimes the quiet words describe it best, words like cleansing and joy and love.

It is a cleansing power. Pentecost is rightly described as a filling of the Spirit, but before the filling there was an emptying. Peter knew. On a dark night not long before, he had felt the pain of the emptying. He heard a cock crow twice, and struck by the enormity of his sin, he "broke down and wept", says Mark. No cleansing; no power. So when the crowd, cut to the quick by his preaching, cried, "What shall we do?", Peter said, "Repent". Power without repentance is the wrong kind of power. "Repent," said Peter, "and be baptized..in the name of Jesus for the forgiveness of your sins; and you shall receive the gift of the Holy Spirit." (Acts2:37-38)

That is how it happened in Korea, too. Here is how a Korean minister who was there described it: "It was a great sign and wonder.. I saw some struggling to get up than falling back in agony. Others again bounded to their feet to rid their souls of some long-covered sin. It seemed unwise that such confessions be made... But there was no help for it. We were under an awful and mysterious power, helpless--missionaries as well as Koreans." (J.S. Gale, Korea in Transition. p. 206 f.)

Those were Presbyterians he was describing. That is surprising, perhaps, but no less surprising than a fact which is closely related to it, the fact that now only eighty years later, by some accounts there are more Presbyterians in Korea than in the United States. First the repentance, then the cleansing, then the power.

The power of the Spirit is also the power of a great joy. One of the historians of the early days of Christianity has written, "Unless [you] can understand the constant mood of victorious, jubilant happiness..[you] simply will not understand primitive [New Testament] Christianity".

(Weiss). The crowds watching the disciples at Pentecost thought they were

drunk. They were not. They were not intoxicated with wine; they were God-intoxicated. The Spirit had come to dwell with them, and it is no light thing to be the dwelling place of the living God. They were overcome; they were "surprised by joy".

The power of the Spirit is also the power of a great love. First, God's love: "In this is love," wrote John, "not that we loved God but that He loved us." It is not a love we manufacture; it is given by the Spirit to all who will receive it. "The fruit of the Spirit is love". Even the enemies of those early Christians noticed this. "Oh how they love one another", they said. It was not a separating stroke of lightning, but a warm, uniting love. In Korea, after the Great Revival, the Koreans said to the missionaries, "Some of you go back to John Calvin, and some to John Wesley, but we can go back no further than 1907 [and the Revival] when we first really knew the Lord Jesus Christ." (J. Fowler-Willing, p. 21)

But there was more to the love than Christians loving each other. It was a love that broke out beyond the bounds of the church, particularly to the poor and the sick and the oppressed. The greatest single tribute paid to those early Christians was a remark attributed to one of their most implacable enemies, Julian, the apostate emperor. He is said to have complained, as if the Christians were taking unfair advantage of him, "These Christians feed not only their own poor, but ours as well."

So there was cleansing, and joy and love in the power that came at Pentecost. The power was not the wind and not the fire. The power is the Spirit who, with the Father and the Son, is the One God who creates, sustains and energizes all that ever was or is and will be. He is the promised Power. And He is always there. But an important practical question remains: What is the power for?

III. The Power is for Witness.

That is my third point. What happened when the power came that first day of Pentecost in Jerusalem? Peter went out to preach. Pentecost was more than an experience of renewal. It was more than a season of rejoicing. It was a call to mission.

Tradition tells us that every one of the inner circle of the eleven disciples at Pentecost became a missionary. John went to Asia Minor, James to the Arabs, Andrew to the Goths, Peter to Rome, and so on. Even doubting Thomas, somewhat reluctantly as usual, went to far-off India according to the tradition. That's why they were called apostles, which means missionaries, "ones who were sent out". Had not the Lord said, "You shall receive power...; and you shall be my witnesses..to the end of the earth." Power is for witness, and witness is for the whole world.

What happened when the power came in Korea back in 1907? They organized a presbytery. I suppose, that sounds like an anticlimax. If so, think again. It was no accident that along with the power of the great Korean revival came the organization of the first presbytery of a Korean Presbyterian Church. The two are not in tension. They belong together. Listen to John R. Mott, who was not only a great evangelist but a great churchman and organizer and ecumenical leader. "Pray as if there was no such thing as organization," he once said, "and organize as if there was no such thing as prayer."

The Koreans did both in that great revival year of 1907. They prayed and they organized. They prayed, and the power came. They organized—organized the first self-governing, autonomous Korean presbytery—and the presbytery sent out its first missionary, a Korean.

Let me tell you that story in a little more detail. It was at that first presbytery meeting that the first seven graduates of the little theological seminary which had been founded a few years earlier were ordained. They were awed and a little daunted by thoughts of what this would mean in terms of the spiritual responsibilities this would bring to each of them. Then another thought occurred to them. Just as they were about to come into the meeting, one of them said, "We will be the first Korean ministers of the Korean church. But a real church has more than ministers. It has missionaries." And they looked hard at a burly young man who had come a little late into their class at the seminary. "You stoned the first missionary you ever saw, didn't you," they said. And he hung his head. It was true. "Then you are going to be our first missionary," they said, and walked in to be ordained. And the moderator of the presbytery, my father, who happened to be the missionary that man had stoned sixteen years before, ordained the man who had stoned him, and the church sent him off as their own first missionary, to a strange island off the southern coast where he in turn was stoned when he first stood up to preach the gospel.

Power is for witness, and if we are skeptical about associating Presbyterian ecclesiastical structures with spiritual power and missionary witness, we are either underestimating or underemploying one of the Spirit's gifts to us as Presbyterians, the gift of organization.

But where is the power? I love the Church and I believe in it. I love our own Presbyterian segment of the Body of Christ most of all. But where is the power? At Pentecost, the power in a little group of about 120 men and women swept 3000 people into the fellowship in one day. In the American church, according to statistics I saw some years ago, it takes 54 Christians working a whole year to bring just one new member into the

church. And among Presbyterians we lose more than we win. Has the power gone? Since coming back from Korea I have found more life in this old church than some give it credit for, but I must admit that our statistical record is utterly appalling. Now I do not worship statistics of church growth. I know they are often wrong. I know that there are tares as well as wheat in rapid growth. And I know that numerical growth can come from other factors than spiritual power in the church. Nevertheless, compare the Presbyterian record in America with Korea. In 1974 there were 1,500,000 Presbyterians in Korea. Ten years later, in 1984, there were between four and five million. Doesn't it say something about the distribution of spiritual power in the two churches, here and there, that while Korean Presbyterians were tripling their membership, American United Presbyterians, as some of us were then, were losing about a third of ours, and cutting back on the number of our overseas missionaries at the same time.

Has the power gone? Forty years ago I was so discouraged about the Presbyterian church that I wrote impulsively to my father, "I don't think I'll go to Princeton. I'm not sure I want to be a Presbyterian." My wise father wrote back. He said, "Sam, you'll find a lot of good Christians outside the Presbyterian church and a lot of good missionaries, but before you make your decision, why don't you look around and see if you can't find some places where the Holy Spirit is working through the Presbyterians. And if the Holy Spirit can work through the Presbyterian church, perhaps you can."

There is still power in our church. There is power because our Lord has promised it power and the Spirit still works in the church. There comes a time to stop criticizing the church, and to try praying for it. Not for numbers, for more Presbyterians, but for the power Jesus promised which

is power for witness, power for mission. And when the power comes don't keep it for Presbyterians. Take it out across the world in mission.

Two-thirds of this world does not have enough to eat. It goes to bed hungry every night. Mission is feeding. Most of the world suffers and lies in pain. Mission is healing. More than half of the adult world is blind. It doesn't know how to read. Mission is opening eyes, and teaching how to read. Most of the world is oppressed by unjust powers. Mission is liberation. So go forth and heal and feed and liberate. Open the eyes of the blind. We can and must join in the struggle against all these ills of the world--hunger, sickness, suffering, and slavery. But if we have done all that, can we say we have completed the mission? The greatest need will still be unmet. When the power comes, we must go forth and preach the good news. "You shall be my witnesses.." said Jesus. Yet two-thirds of the world is still without effectual knowledge of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ.

The power is here. The trouble is with us. We do not call for the power; we don't get it. And then we complain that we don't have it. We are more naive even than the Arab chieftans Lawrence of Arabia brought with him to the Paris Peace Conference. Perhaps you have read the story. These men of the desert were amazed at many things, but nothing astonished them half so much as the running water in their hotel rooms. They knew the scarcity of water and its value, yet here it was to be had by the turning of a tap, free and seemingly exhaustless. When they prepared to leave Paris, Lawrence found them trying to detach the faucets so that out in their dry deserts they might always have water. He tried to explain that behind the flowing taps were huge reservoirs, and that without this supply the faucets were useless. But the Arabs insisted. They were sure that the magic instruments would give them water forever.

Are we not even more credulous in our Christian lives? They expected water from unattached faucets. We look for water to run from a closed tap. In the Holy Spirit are deep reservoirs of power, wells of water springing up into everlasting life. But the Holy Spirit cannot flow through a closed tap, he cannot work through an unyielded life.

So open up the taps. But watch out. The promise is for any who will believe and receive. And when by faith and by grace, we turn the taps and the power flows, watch out! The Spirit works when, where and how He pleases. When the power comes, it is not you but the Spirit who controls the temperature. You may pray for the fire, and He may choose to send a cool, refreshing rain and a still small voice. Or you may think you will be more comfortable with the still, small voice, and sometimes, as in Korea, there comes the fire and the earthquake.

But don't ask me again, Where's the power? It is already here.

It is the same Spirit, the same promise and the same power that has always been here. For Jesus is still saying to his disciples:

"You shall receive power... when the Spirit comes.. and you shall be my witnesses"

Witnesses to the ends of this dry and thirsty, this sick and hungry, this oppressed, frightened, lost world. You shall be witnesses that the Saviour has come, and that He will come again, and that the Spirit is already here.

- Samuel Hugh Moffett

January 10, 1985.

Dallas, Texas

Where's the Power? (Acts 1:8; 2:1-8,12-21)

My text is from the first chapter of the Book of Acts. Jesus is saying goodbye to his disciples before he is taken up from them into heaven. And he says to them (in vs. 8), "You shall receive <u>power</u> when the Holy Spirit has come upon you; and you shall be my witnesses in Jerusalem and in all Judea and Samaria and to the end of the earth."

That is the greatest of all the great promises of God. "You shall receive power..". Now I know, strictly speaking one of God's promises is not greater than any other of God's promises. But to me this is the greatest because it is what I need most. You see, I'm a Presbyterian. I have order and decency up to here. But where's the power?

And this is the greatest of the five forms in which the Great Commission comes to us. "You shall be my witnesses.. to the ends of the earth." There is a form of this commissioning in each of the four gospels, Matthew, Mark, Luke and John. But this one in the book of Acts is the greatest. Now I know again that strictly speaking no one form of God's commissioning is greater than any other. But to me this is the greatest because it is the one I need most. You see, I'm a Presbyterian. I have sentimental, upper middle class virtues up to here. But where's the power? Where's the power to propel us out of our comfortable, encapsulated churches and across the world? Our members are leaving; our missions declining. Where's the power? If power is what God promises, isn't that just what we all need most?

I. So let's begin with the promise.

"You shall receive power..." I'm not so sure that the disciples really believed that promise. They were too much like us. They were about as unrenewed, and powerless, and unfocussed and puzzled a little group as most of us American Christians. And like us Presbyterians they were losing members. A few days before they had been twelve. Now they were only eleven in the inner circle. That's even worse than Presbyterians. About 40,000 a year, isn't it that we're losing? One our of every 80 or so. The disciples lost one out of twelve!

So, disturbed and anxious, they turned to Jesus. But the first question they asked was the wrong question. All they wanted to know was, "When does the revolution begin?" "When will you bring in the Kingdom?", and they were still thinking in political terms, which is all right in its place, but this was neither the place nor the time for that question and Jesus turned them away. "That is not for you to know," he said. But because he loved them, he gave them a promise, a promise centered in a different kind of kingdom. "You will receive power..when the Holy Spirit comes, and you will be my witnesses..to the ends of the earth." Then he left them.

He left them with many questions unanswered. He left them still unrenewed, still puzzled, still powerless. But isn't that how renewal always begins, Not with power for the ambitious and powerful, but with a promise of power for those who have never had power, or have lost it?

That is how it began in Korea about a hundred years ago. The Korean church is now celebrating a hundred years of Protestant Christianity. But in some ways those first Korean Christians weren't much to celebrate. One of the best of them was a converted saloon keeper, only half-converted, really, or only partly renewed. Another was a 27-year-old student of the

Chinese classics who fancied himself a philosopher and whose greatest religious experience had been a dream of the moon rising in his stomach.

Still another was wrestling with the awful secret that he had taken a second wife before he was converted, and could not bear to leave her. They were like the disciples. They weren't much to boast about.

But the gospel is good news. It is grace for the sinful, not for those who think they have no sin. It is healing for the sick, release for captives, sight for the blind, peace for the troubled, justice for the down-trodden, renewal for empty hearts. And as at Jerusalem, it is power for the powerless.

Whether or not at that moment, when Jesus was just about to leave them, the disappointed little group of disciples believed the promise or not, they did the right thing. They trudged back down the hill into the city and "with the women, with one accord devoted themselves to prayer".

Gave themselves to prayer? Weren't there more important things to engage the attention of serious men and women that day. There were rumors of a conspiracy against the life of the emperor Tiberias in Rome,—a good time for a revolution— and of a threatened famine spreading in North Africa, and news that the German barbarians were raiding again across the Rhine. Most people still think that those are the kinds of issues that really matter. And they do matter. But all the disciples did was go home and pray!

Prayer, says this secular world, is the Christian's escape from reality It's a "cop-out". That is what my wife was told at one Presbyterian meeting not long after we cambe back from Korea to America. She was speaking about the vitality and enthusiasm of the Korean Christians in prayer and singing and personal witness, and one woman asked her, "What

did you do for the people imprisoned by the government over there." It was a good question. It needed to be asked, and it was not asked unkindly. But when Eileen paused and answered, "Well, there wasn't too much we could do. We thought many had been imprisoned unjustly, and we visited them when we could. And we prayed for them regularly." That was when someone whispered audibly, "Cop-out!".

Well, there are times when I, too, have been more tempted to revolution than to prayer. And apparently it was revolution the disciples were thinking about when they asked Jesus, "Lord will you at this time restore the kingdom to Israel?". But remembering how Jesus had answered them, instead of revolution they prayed. If you will forgive a personal word, when I was arrested in China during the revolution, and wordered what was going to happen to me, the knowledge that Christians were praying for me encouraged and sustained me far more than any hope I might have had that another revolution would come, a counter-revolution, and make everything all right.

Here is the paradox. Revolutions make the headlines. Prayer doesn't. But the world has forgotten about the plot against Tiberias that set tongues wagging in Jerusalem while the disciples prayed. It has forgotten the border raids across the Rhine. But it has never forgotten that credulous, leaderless, outcast little band that trudged down the hill into Jerusalem—and took the time to pray.

There was a time in Korea back about 80 years ago that missionaries and Korean Christians were so discouraged about the prospects for the future of the church there that they began to wonder if their twenty years of pioneering since 1884 had been all in vain. The country was losing its independence to the Japanese. The missionaries were tired. The Korean

Christians, like the Laodiceans, seemed to have "lost the love they had at first". Then it was that a Canadian medical doctor called them not to give up but to pray. And the little prayer meetings and Bible studies he started were the beginnings of the great Korean Revival of 1904-08.

II. What happened? What happened was power.

This is my second point. What happens is that God keeps his promises. He promised power to the powerless disciples on the hill outside Jerusalem. They went back into the city and prayed, and the power came. Suddenly, at Pentecost, "a sound came from heaven like the rush of a mighty wind, and fire..." The Spirit came, and life flamed again within them, as in coals dropped from a fireplace, apparently dead but breaking open in a shower of sparks. The Spirit brought flame back into the blue, bleak hearts of the disciples. It lifted a dispirited little group of ordinary men and women and sent them out to begin to change the world—to Jerusalem, Judea, Samaria, and to the ends of the earth.

But I must confess that the record of that first Pentecost--all wind and fire and many tongues--is a disconcerting passage to read today in a group of Presbyterians like this. It smacks too much of hot gospellers and holy rollers and quakers and shakers and enthusiasts. It doesn't describe all that is best and most beautiful in Christian worship, does it? And yet the more I read of the history of the church, the more I am impressed with the fact that some of the most creative and effective periods in the church have been precisely those periods when the gospel was "hot" and not when it was most respectable.

If I were to pick my favorite spot in medieval Romanism I would choose the days of that gentle madman St. Francis of Assissi. And some of

the greatest moments of Protestantism were in the times of Puritan enthusiasts and "great awakeners" like Jonathan Edwards. Too hot for Yale; I'm glad Presbyterian Princeton took him in. Quakers really quaked once, in the days of their intense beginnings; and when Methodism burned its way into the history of England and America, strong men and women roared and shook under the power of preachers like Wesley and Whitefield and Peter Cartwright. Long-haired dandies would come to Cartwright's camp-meetings. "They came to scoff," he wrote, "but they stayed to pray", and suddenly seized by the power their backs would bend almost to the breaking, then, the tension suddenly released by the peace of the Spirit, they would straighten up with such force that their long hair cracked like whips. Strange, bizarre, and to me, a little frightening.

But as someone has said, "The church of Christ has had more power when the world though it was drunk, as at Pentecost, than when the world though it was dead." And before we condemn the outer extravagances of those meetings it might be well to ask two questions. First, is all that excitement necessary for a renewal? And second, when it does occur what is the inward, quickening power that produces it?

The answer to the first question is No. Not all revivals are fiery, and renewal can be as quiet as an inner thought. Almost always revival and renewal begin with prayer, and the best prayers are usually quiet. The revival of 1857 in New York, for example, was just a prayermeeting. No fire. No shouting. As J. Edwin Orr described it, Jeremiah Lanphier, a city missionary in lower Manhattan "passed out handbills inviting anyone interested to join him from 12 to 1 on Wednesdays for a prayermeeting. [They could] come for an hour or just five minutes. The first day six people came, the next day forty. Then [they made] it a daily

instead of weekly meeting and within six months ten thousand business men were gathering [every day] for prayer in New York City. Within two years a million converts had joined American churches" (Orr 1965: 104, cited by Hazel Watson, p. 126). Just a prayermeeting, but what a prayermeeting!

The Great Revival in Korea was another matter. There was nothing low-key about that. It began with quiet prayermeetings, yes, but when it exploded into waves of wailing and weeping and writhing in agonies of confession, th missionaries were terrified. They stopped the meeting.

They were "frightened by the presence of a Power which could work..wonders", as one of my father's colleagues described it. (Blair, Gold in Korea, p. 64). But the meetings could not be stopped, they went on and on and gradually even the most traditional-minded missionaries recognized that however disturbing its manifestations might appear, the power was not to be feared, and could not be resisted. It came from God.

The fire was not the power. It was only an outward sign of the power. The shouting and weeping are not the power. Sometimes the Bible uses quieter words to describe the mighty power of God. Elijah is on the mount and the Lord passes by. "And a great and strong wind" shook the mount and broke the rocks, "but God was not in the wind; and after the wind an earthquake, but God was not in the earthquake;...a fire, but God was not in the fire; and after the fire, a still small voice, and when he heard it, Elijah hid his face in his mantle" for the still small voice was the voice of God. (I Kings 19:11-14 f.) There is no one way to describe the power. Sometimes it shakes and shouts. Sometimes the quiet words describe it best, words like cleansing and joy and love.

It is a cleansing power. Pentecost is rightly described as a filling of the Spirit, but before the filling there was an emptying. Peter

knew. On a dark night not long before, he had felt the pain of the emptying. He heard a cock crow twice, and struck by the enormity of his sin, he "broke down and wept", says Mark. No cleansing; no power. So when the crowd, cut to the quick by his preaching, cried, "What shall we do?", Peter said, "Repent". Power without repentance is the wrong kind of power. "Repent," said Peter, "and be baptized..in the name of Jesus for the forgiveness of your sins; and you shall receive the gift of the Holy Spirit." (Acts2:37-38)

That is how it happened in Korea, too. Here is how a Korean minister who was there described it: "It was a great sign and wonder.. I saw some struggling to get up than falling back in agony. Others again bounded to their feet to rid their souls of some long-covered sin. It seemed unwise that such confessions be made... But there was no help for it. We were under an awful and mysterious power, helpless--missionaries as well as Koreans." (J.S. Gale, Korea in Transition. p. 206 f.)

Those were Presbyterians he was describing. That is surprising, perhaps, but no less surprising than a fact which is closely related to it, the fact that now only eighty years later, by some accounts there are more Presbyterians in Korea than in the United States. First the repertance, then the cleansing, then the power.

The power of the Spirit is also the power of a great joy. One of the historians of the early days of Christianity has written, "Unless [you] can understand the constant mood of victorious, jubilant happiness..[you] simply will not understand primitive [New Testament] Christianity". (Weiss). The crowds watching the disciples at Pentecost thought they were drunk. They were not. They were not intoxicated with wine; they were Godintoxicated. The Spirit had come to dwell with them, and it is no light

thing to be the dwelling place of the living God. They were overcome; they were "surprised by joy".

The power of the Spirit is also the power of a great love. First God's love: "In this is love," wrote John, "not that we loved God but that He loved us." It is not a love we manufacture; it is given by the Spirit to all who will receive it. "The fruit of the Spirit is love". Even the enemies of those early Christians noticed this. "Oh how they love one another", they said. It was not a separating stroke of lightning, but a warm, uniting love. In Korea, after the Great Revival, the Koreans said to the missionaries, "Some of you go back to John Calvir, and some to John Wesley, but we can go back no further than 1907 [and the Revival] when we first really knew the Lord Jesus Christ." (J. Fowler-Willing, p. 21)

But there was more to the love than Christians loving each other. It was a love that broke out beyond the bounds of the church, particularly to the poor and the sick and the oppressed. The greatest single tribute paid to those early Christians was a remark attributed to one of their most implacable enemies, Julian, the apostate emperor. He is said to have complained, as if the Christians were taking unfair advantage of him, "These Christians feed not only their own poor, but ours as well."

So there was cleansing, and joy and love in the power that came at Pentecost. The power was not the wind and not the fire. The power is the Spirit who, with the Father and the Son, is the One God who creates, sustains and energizes all that ever was or is and will be. He is the promised Power. And He is always there. But an important practical question remains: What is the power for?

III. The Power is for <u>Witness</u>. That is my third point.

That is my third-point. What happened when the power came that first day of Pentecost in Jerusalem? Peter went out to preach. Pentecost was more than an experience of renewal. It was more than a season of rejoicing. It was a call to mission.

Tradition tells us that every one of the inner circle of the eleven disciples at Pentecost became a missionary. John went to Asia Minor, James to the Arabs, Andrew to the Goths, Peter to Rome, and so on. Even doubting Thomas, somewhat reluctantly as usual, went to far-off India according to the tradition. That's why they were called apostles, which means missionaries, "ones who were sent out". Had not the Lord said, "You shall receive power...; and you shall be my witnesses..to the end of the earth." Power is for witness, and witness is for the whole world.

What happened when the power came in Korea back in 1907? They organized a presbytery. I suppose, that sounds like an anticlimax. If so, think again. It was no accident that along with the power of the great Korean revival came the organization of the first presbytery of a Korean Presbyterian Church. The two are not in tension. They belong together. Listen to John R. Mott, who was not only a great evangelist but a great churchman and organizer and ecumenical leader. "Pray as if there was no such thing as organization," he once said, "and organize as if there was no such thing as prayer."

The Koreans did both in that great revival year of 1907. They prayed and they organized. They prayed, and the power came. They organized—organized the first self-governing, autonomous Korean presbytery—and the presbytery sent out its first missionary, a Korean.

Let me tell you that story in a little more detail. It was at that first presbytery meeting that the first seven graduates of the little

theological seminary which had been founded a few years earlier were ordained. They were awed and a little daunted by thoughts of what this would mean in terms of the spiritual responsibilities this would bring to each of them. Then another thought occurred to them. Just as they were about to come into the meeting, one of them said, "We will be the first Korean ministers of the Korean church. But a real church has more than ministers. It has missionaries." And they looked hard at a burly young man who had come a little late into their class at the seminary. "You stoned the first missionary you ever saw, didn't you," they said. And he hung his head. It was true. "Then you are going to be our first missionary," they said, and walked in to be ordained. And the moderator of the presbytery, my father, who happened to be the missionary that man had stoned sixteen years before, ordained the man who had stoned him, and the church sent him off as their own first missionary, to a strange island off the southern coast where he in turn was stoned when he first stood up to preach the gospel.

Power is for witness, and if we are skeptical about associating Presbyterian ecclesiastical structures with spiritual power and missionary witness, we are either underestimating or underemploying one of the Spirit's gifts to us as Presbyterians, the gift of organization.

But where is the power? I love the Church and I believe in it. I love our own Presbyterian segment of the Body of Christ most of all. But where is the power?

At Pentecost, the power in a little group of about 120 men and women swept 3000 people into the fellowship in one day. In the American church, according to statistics I saw some years ago, it takes 54 Christians working a whole year to bring just one new member into the church. And among Presbyterians we lose more than we win. Has the power gone? Since

coming back from Korea I have found more life in this old church than some give it credit for, but I must admit that our statistical record is utterly appalling.

Compare it with Korea. In 1974 there were 1,500,000 Presbyterians in Korea. Ten years later, in 1984, there were between four and five million, Now I do not worship statistics of church growth. I know they are often wrong, and I also know there are tares in all that wheat. But it says something about the distribution of spiritual power in the two churches, here and there, that while Korean Presbyterians were tripling their membership, American United Presbyterians, as some of us were then, were losing about a third of ours, and cutting back on the number of our overseas missionaries at the same time.

Has the power gone? I came across a comment in a letter from

Africa which helps me answer that question. The letter was from some

African evangelists telling how they preached the gospel there in East

Africa. This is what they said. "We did not begin to preach until we had

called for the power of God. That power came. We took it and went forth to

preach, and people came confessing Jesus like the fish of the sea in

number."

They took it and went forth to feed the hungry. Two-thirds of the world still goes to bed hungry every night. They took it and went forth to heal the sick. Most of the people in this world are suffering and in pain. They took it to open the eyes of the blind. Not just the physically blind. One half of the adult population of the world is illiterate. It cannot read the Word of God. They took it and went forth to bring justice and freedom to the world's oppressed. More than half of the world's people live under oppression and injustice. What more can I say, except to emphasize again

that they went forth to preach. We can and should join in the struggle against all the world's other ills--hunger, sickness, suffering, slavery--but that will still not fulfill the mission. Yet unmet will be the deepest need of all. Two-thirds of the world is still without effectual knowledge of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ.

Has the power gone? Not a bit. The power is still there. The trouble is with us. We do not call for the power. We don't get it. And we complain that we don't have it. We are more naive even than the Arab chieftans Lawrence of Arabia brought with him to the Paris Peace Conference. Perhaps you have read the story. These men of the desert were amazed at many things, but nothing astonished them half so much as the running water in their hotel rooms. They knew the scarcity of water and its value, yet here it was to be had by the turning of a tap, free and seemingly exhaustless. When they prepared to leave Paris, Lawrence found them trying to detach the faucets so that out in their dry deserts they might always have water. He tried to explain that behind the flowing taps were huge reservoirs, and that without this supply the faucets were useless. But the Arabs insisted. They were sure that the magic instruments would give them water forever.

Are we not even more credulous in our Christian lives? They expected water from unattached faucets. We look for water to run from a closed tap. In the Holy Spirit are deep reservoirs of power, wells of water springing up into everlasting life. But the Holy Spirit cannot flow through a closed tap, he cannot work through an unyielded life.

So open up the taps. But watch out. The promise is for any who will believe and receive. And when by faith and by grace, we turn the taps and the power flows, watch out! The Spirit works when, where and how He pleases. When the power comes, it is not you but the Spirit who controls

the temperature. You may pray for the fire, and He may choose to send a cool, refreshing rain and a still small voice. Or you may think you will be more comfortable with the still, small voice, and sometimes, as in Korea, there comes the fire and the earthquake.

But don't ask me again, Where's the power? It is already here.

It is the same Spirit, the same promise and the same power that has always been here. For Jesus is still saying to his disciples:

"You shall receive power... when the Spirit comes.. and you shall be my witnesses"

Witnesses to the ends of this dry and thirsty, this sick and hungry, this oppressed, frightened, lost world. You shall be witnesses that the Saviour has come, and that He will come again, and that the Spirit is already here.

- Samuel Hugh Moffett (copyright)
January 10, 1985.
Dallas, Texas







Home > Articles > House Church as a Faucet

Search

Site Navigation

House Church as a Faucet by Maurice Smith

About H2H
FAQ
Articles
Events
Resources
Links
Contact H2H
Directory
H2H Magazine
User Submission
E-Newsletters
The HC Coach
Prayer List

As I was preparing to write this, I re-discovered an article entitled "Where's the Power?" by Samuel H. Moffett, the well-known Presbyterian missionary to China and Korea. The article was originally an address that he delivered to the Presbyterian Congress on Renewal in January of 1985. It was then re-printed in "The Princeton Seminary Bulletin" (1985; Vol. 6, Number 2), which is where I found it. It is an excellent article based upon Acts 1:8, and Moffett is disarmingly honest about the powerlessness of the present day church, with confessions like, "You see, I'm a Presbyterian. I have order and decency up to here. But where's the power?"

In the course of the article, Moffett recounts a story that you may have heard before, but which bears repeating. Let me simply quote Moffett, since I would have a hard time improving on his account:

"We are more naive even than the Arab chieftains Lawrence of Arabia brought with him to the Paris Peace Conference. Perhaps you have read the story. These men of the desert were amazed at many things, but nothing astonished them half so much as the running water in their hotel rooms. They knew the scarcity of water and its value, yet here it was to be had by the turning of a tap, free and seemingly exhaustless. When they prepared to leave Paris, Lawrence found them trying to detach the faucets so that out in their dry deserts they might always have water. He tried to explain that behind the flowing taps were huge reservoirs, and that without this supply the faucets were useless. But the Arabs insisted. They were sure that the magic instruments would give them water forever."

Let me be lovingly blunt. The church today is full of "spiritual Arabs" whose understanding of revival (and any genuine movement of the Spirit of God) and its origins is on about the same level as those Arab chieftains and their understanding of faucets and modern plumbing. How can you spot such "spiritual Arabs"? It's really quite simple. They believe and teach that revival (or any genuine move of God's Spirit) is the result and product of a technique. In other words, if you want water (i.e., the Holy Spirit), all you need to do is to turn the faucet (insert favorite technique at this point). Like their Arab predecessors, they have become enamored with the instrument (the faucet) with the unfortunate result that they have confused the instrument with the source. They want water and are willing to settle for any instrument

that appears able to temporarily satisfy their thirst. Like the spiritual Arabs they have become, such people are blissfully ignorant that behind the faucet is a reservoir of boundless supply. They are content to study the faucet. To build schools to study and teach the proper operation of faucets. To open faucet franchises. To encourage the building of more faucets. To write books about how you, too, can build and operate a faucet.

Now, lets move closer to home (literally). House church, in all of its various manifestations (house church, simple church, organic church, etc.) is a faucet. That's all. And the danger we now face in the house church movement is the danger of allowing ourselves to become "spiritual Arabs." A plethora of books are now coming to market about the "right" and "wrong" ways to do house church. May I politely suggest that you ignore the books, because, in reality there is only one "wrong" way to do house church. What will ultimately and eventually kill the house church movement will be for house churches to become another faucet promoted and populated by "spiritual Arabs" who have mistaken the instrument for the source, who have confused the channel with the River.

I believe that the promise of the house church movement (or "organic church" or "simple church" or whatever label you want to attach) is that God is sovereignly raising up a new channel for the River of His Spirit. The coming revival is not a meeting (whether in a house church or in a traditional institutional church). It is a River, the River of His Spirit, flowing freely through the lives of individuals. Revival is not a faucet. It is a reservoir of the Spirit of God. God is raising up this new channel for the River of His Spirit because He fully intends to pour out His River in fresh power and to fill the channel to overflowing. Don't become distracted by focusing on the faucet. Prepare the channel.

Pray for the coming of the River. And get prepared for a new lesson on God's plumbing! Let The River Flow!

Maurice Smith leads the Parousia Network of house churches in Spokane, WA. (see their website: www.parousianetwork.com)

View Responses (5)

Post Response

This Design ©2002 OpenServe







Home > Articles > House Church as a Faucet

Search

Site Navigation

About H2H
FAQ
Articles
Events
Resources
Links
Contact H2H
Directory
H2H Magazine
User Submission
E-Newsletters
The HC Coach
Prayer List

House Church as a Faucet

by Maurice Smith

As I was preparing to write this, I re-discovered an article entitled "Where's the Power?" by Samuel H. Moffett, the well-known Presbyterian missionary to China and Korea. The article was originally an address that he delivered to the Presbyterian Congress on Renewal in January of 1985. It was then re-printed in "The Princeton Seminary Bulletin" (1985; Vol. 6, Number 2), which is where I found it. It is an excellent article based upon Acts 1:8, and Moffett is disarmingly honest about the powerlessness of the present day church, with confessions like, "You see, I'm a Presbyterian. I have order and decency up to here. But where's the power?"

In the course of the article, Moffett recounts a story that you may have heard before, but which bears repeating. Let me simply quote Moffett, since I would have a hard time improving on his account:

"We are more naive even than the Arab chieftains Lawrence of Arabia brought with him to the Paris Peace Conference. Perhaps you have read the story. These men of the desert were amazed at many things, but nothing astonished them half so much as the running water in their hotel rooms. They knew the scarcity of water and its value, yet here it was to be had by the turning of a tap, free and seemingly exhaustless. When they prepared to leave Paris, Lawrence found them trying to detach the faucets so that out in their dry deserts they might always have water. He tried to explain that behind the flowing taps were huge reservoirs, and that without this supply the faucets were useless. But the Arabs insisted. They were sure that the magic instruments would give them water forever."

Let me be lovingly blunt. The church today is full of "spiritual Arabs" whose understanding of revival (and any genuine movement of the Spirit of God) and its origins is on about the same level as those Arab chieftains and their understanding of faucets and modern plumbing. How can you spot such "spiritual Arabs"? It's really quite simple. They believe and teach that revival (or any genuine move of God's Spirit) is the result and product of a technique. In other words, if you want water (i.e., the Holy Spirit), all you need to do is to turn the faucet (insert favorite technique at this point). Like their Arab predecessors, they have become enamored with the instrument (the faucet) with the unfortunate result that they have confused the instrument with the source. They want water and are willing to settle for any instrument

that appears able to temporarily satisfy their thirst. Like the spiritual Arabs they have become, such people are blissfully ignorant that behind the faucet is a reservoir of boundless supply. They are content to study the faucet. To build schools to study and teach the proper operation of faucets. To open faucet franchises. To encourage the building of more faucets. To write books about how you, too, can build and operate a faucet.

Now, lets move closer to home (literally). House church, in all of its various manifestations (house church, simple church, organic church, etc.) is a faucet. That's all. And the danger we now face in the house church movement is the danger of allowing ourselves to become "spiritual Arabs." A plethora of books are now coming to market about the "right" and "wrong" ways to do house church. May I politely suggest that you ignore the books, because, in reality there is only one "wrong" way to do house church. What will ultimately and eventually kill the house church movement will be for house churches to become another faucet promoted and populated by "spiritual Arabs" who have mistaken the instrument for the source, who have confused the channel with the River.

I believe that the promise of the house church movement (or "organic church" or "simple church" or whatever label you want to attach) is that God is sovereignly raising up a new channel for the River of His Spirit. The coming revival is not a meeting (whether in a house church or in a traditional institutional church). It is a River, the River of His Spirit, flowing freely through the lives of individuals. Revival is not a faucet. It is a reservoir of the Spirit of God. God is raising up this new channel for the River of His Spirit because He fully intends to pour out His River in fresh power and to fill the channel to overflowing. Don't become distracted by focusing on the faucet. Prepare the channel.

Pray for the coming of the River. And get prepared for a new lesson on God's plumbing! Let The River Flow!

Maurice Smith leads the Parousia Network of house churches in Spokane, WA. (see their website: www.parousianetwork.com)

View Responses (5)

Post Response

This Design ©2002 OpenServe

LIBRARY

HOME MAGAZINE NEWS PRAYER HOME OFFICE RENEWAL



abc def ghi jkl mno pqr stu vwxyz

Topics

Abortion
Divorce
Evangelism
General Conference
Goddess Worship
Holy Spirit
Homosexuality
Methodism
Mission
Prayer
Re-Imagining

Recovering the Power of Pentecost

by Samuel Moffett

The United Methodist Church isn't the only denomination in need of spiritu renewal. Over the last decade, the Presbyterian Church U.S.A. has lost approximately 25% of its total membership. Spiritual vitality has been low Last year several evangelically-oriented renewal groups within the church a National Congress on Renewal attended by 7, 000 Presbyterians. A tremendously exciting and hopeful address was given at the meeting by R Samuel Moffett, a former missionary to China and Korea. His remarks hav great relevance to United Methodists.

The greatest of all the great promises of God is found in the first chapter of Acts: "You shall receive power when the Holy Spirit has come upon you; a you shall by My witnesses both in Jerusalem, and in all Judea and Samaria even to the remotest part of the earth" (Acts 1:8, italics mine).

Strictly speaking, no single promise of God is any greater than His other promises. But to me this is the greatest, because it is what I need most. Y see, I'm a Presbyterian. I have order and decency up to here. But where's power?

This promise is also the greatest of the five forms in which the Great Commission comes to us. Oh, I know that, strictly speaking, no one form God's commissioning is greater than any other. But to me this is the great because it is the one I need most. You see, I'm a Presbyterian. I have sentimental, upper-middle-class virtues up to here. But where's the power

Where's the power to propel us out of our comfortable, encapsulated chur and across the world? Our members are leaving; our missions declining. Where's the power? If the power is what God promises, isn't that what we most today? So, let's begin with *the promise*.

"You shall receive power . . . " I'm not so sure that Jesus' disciples really believed that promise. They were too much like us. They were about as unrenewed, powerless, unfocused, and puzzled a group as are most of us American Christians. And like us Presbyterians, those disciples were losing members.

A few days before, they had been 12. Now there were only 11 in the inner circle. That's a worse percentage than even we Presbyterians can boast. A 40,000 members a year, isn't it, that we're losing? One out of every 80 or The disciples lost 1 out of 12!

So, disturbed and anxious, they turned to Jesus. But the first question the asked Him was the wrong question. All they wanted to know was, When d the revolution begin? When will you bring in the Kingdom? (i.e., Acts 1:6) They were still thinking in political terms, which is all right in its place, but

was neither the place nor the time for that question and Jesus turned ther away.

"It is not for you to know," He said (Acts 1:7). But because He loved them gave them a promise, a promise centered in a different kind of kingdom." shall receive power... when the Holy Spirit has come, and you will be my witnesses ... to the remotest part of the earth." Then He left them.

He left them with many questions unanswered. He left them still unrenewderstill puzzled, still powerless. Yet, isn't that how renewal always begins-not power for the ambitious and powerful but with a promise of power for those who have never had power, or have lost it?

Whether or not, at that moment when Jesus was about to leave them, the disappointed little group of disciples believed His promise, they did the rig thing. They trudged back down the hill into the city and "with one accord devoted themselves to prayer" (Acts 1:14, RSV).

Gave themselves to prayer? Weren't there more important things to engage the attention of serious men and women? There were rumors of a conspiration against the life of the emperor Tiberias in Rome-a good time for a revoluti and of a threatened famine spreading in North Africa. There also was news the German barbarians were raiding again across the Rhine.

Most people still think those are the kinds of issues that matter, and they matter. But all the disciples did was go home and pray!

Prayer, says our secular world, is merely the Christian's escape from realit It's a cop-out.

That is what my wife was told at a Presbyterian meeting not long after we came back from Korea to America. She was speaking about the vitality an enthusiasm of the Korean Christians in prayer, singing, and personal witner One woman asked her, "What did you do for the people imprisoned by the government over there?"

It was a good question. It needed to be asked, and it was not asked unkin Eileen paused and answered, "Well, there wasn't too much we could do. We thought many had been imprisoned unjustly, and we visited them when we could. And we prayed for them regularly." That was when someone whispiaudibly, "Cop-out!"

At times I, too, have been more tempted to revolution than to prayer. And apparently it was revolution the disciples were thinking about when they ϵ Jesus, "Lord, is it at this time you are restoring the kingdom to Israel?" Ye they gathered in the upper room, remembering how Jesus had answered to instead of waging revolution they prayed.

When I was arrested in China during the revolution there, I wondered what was going to happen to me. During that time, the knowledge that Christia were praying for me encouraged and sustained me far more than any hop might have had that another revolution, a counter-revolution, would come make everything all right.

Here is the paradox. Revolutions make the headlines. Prayer doesn't. But world has forgotten about the plot against Tiberias that set tongues waggi Jerusalem while the disciples prayed. The world has forgotten the border racross the Rhine. But the world has never forgotten that credulous, leader outcast little band which trudged down the hill into Jerusalem-and took tir

pray.

There was a time in Korea, about 80 years ago, when missionaries and Kc Christians were so discouraged about the prospects for the future of the cl there that they began to wonder if their 20 years of pioneering ministry has been all in vain. The country was losing its independence to the Japanese. missionaries were tired. The Korean Christians, like the Ephesian church described in Revelation, seemed to have lost the love they had at first.

Then it was that a Canadian medical doctor called them not to give up, bu pray. The little prayer meetings and Bible studies he started were the beginnings of the great Korean revival of 1904-1908. What happened?

What happened was *power*. This leads to my second point. *God keeps His promises*.

God promised power to the powerless disciples on the hill outside Jerusale They went back into the city and prayed, and the power came. Suddenly, Pentecost, "there came from heaven a noise like a violent, rushing wind .. fire . . . " (Acts 2:2,3).

The Spirit came, and life flamed again within them, as in coals dropped from fireplace, apparently dead but breaking open in a shower of sparks. The S brought flame back into the blue, bleak hearts of the disciples.

I must confess that the record of that first Pentecostal wind and fire and n tongues-is a disconcerting passage to read today in a group of Presbyteria like this. This account smacks too much of hot gospellers and holy rollers quakers and shakers and enthusiasts. It doesn't describe all that is best at most beautiful in Christian worship, does it?

Yet, the more I read of the history of the Church, the more I am impresse with the following fact: Some of the most creative and effective periods in Church have been precisely those periods when the Gospel was "hot," not when it was most respectable.

If I were to pick my favorite spot in medieval Romanism I would choose the days of that gentle madman, St. Francis of Assisi. Some of the greatest moments of Protestantism were in the times of Puritan enthusiasts and "g awakeners" like Jonathan Edwards. Too hot for Yale! (I'm glad Presbyteria Princeton took him in.)

Quakers really quaked once, in the days of their intense beginnings. And v Methodism burned its way into the history, of England and America, strongen and women roared and shook under the power of preachers like Wes and Whitefield and Peter Cartwright.

Long-haired dandies would come to Cartwright's camp meetings. "They ca to scoff," he wrote, "but they stayed to pray." Suddenly seized by the pow their backs would bend almost to the breaking. Then, the tension suddenly released by the peace of the Spirit, they would straighten up with such for that their long hair cracked like whips.

Strange, bizarre, and to me, a little frightening. Yet, as someone has said, Church of Christ has had more power when the world thought it was drunl at Pentecost, than when the world thought it was dead." Before we conder the outer extravagances of those events it might be well to ask two questifirst, is all that excitement necessary for renewal? And second, when it do occur, what is the inward, quickening power that produces it?

The answer to the first question is no. Not all revivals are fiery, and renew can be as quiet as an inner thought. Almost always, revival and renewal b with prayer, and the best prayers are usually quiet.

The revival of 1857 in New York, for example, was just a prayer meeting. fire. No shouting.A city missionary in lower Manhattan passed out handbill inviting anyone interested to join him on Wednesdays for a prayer meeting. The first day, six people came. Within six months, 10,000 New York businessmen were gathering every day for prayer. Within two years a mill converts had joined American churches. Just a prayer meeting, but what a prayer meeting!

The Great Revival in Korea was another matter. There was nothing low-ke about that. It began with quiet prayer meetings, yes. But it exploded into waves of wailing, weeping, and writhing in agonies of confession.

The American missionaries were terrified. They stopped the meeting. They were "frightened by the presence of a Power which could work ... wonders one of my father's colleagues described it 031air, *Gold in Korea*, p. 64). By meetings could not be stopped. They went on and on, and gradually even most traditional-minded missionaries recognized that, however disturbing manifestations might appear, the power was not to be feared, and could n resisted. It came from God.

However, the fire was not the power. The fire was only an outward sign of power. Shouting and weeping are not the power. There is no one way to describe the power. Sometimes it shakes and shouts. Sometimes the quie words describe it best, words like *cleansing*, joy and *love*. These three ingredients were present in the power that came at Pentecost.

The power was not the wind and the fire. The power was the Spirit who, we the Father and the Son, is the One God who creates, sustains, and energicall that ever was or is or will be. The Spirit is the promised Power. But an important practical question remains: What is the power for?

The power is for witness.

What happened when the power came that first day of Pentecost? Peter w out to preach. Pentecost was more than an experience of renewal. It was than a season of rejoicing. It was a call to mission.

Tradition tells us that every one of the 11 disciples at Pentecost became a missionary. James went to the Arabs, Andrew to the Goths, Peter to the Romans, and so on. Even doubting Thomas, according to the tradition, we to far-off India.

Has not the Lord said, "You shall receive power ... and you shall be my witnesses ... to the remotest part of the earth"? Power is for witness, and witness is for the whole world.

What happened when the power came in Korea back in 1907?

It was during that revival that the first Korean presbytery was formed. At first presbytery meeting, the first seven graduates of the little theological seminary, which had been founded a few years earlier, were ordained.

They were awed and a little daunted by thoughts of what this would mean terms of the spiritual responsibilities their ordination would bring to each ϵ

them. Then another thought occurred to them. Just as they were about to come into the meeting one of them said, "We will be the first Korean mini: of the Korean church. But a real church has more than ministers. It has missionaries."

They looked hard at a burly young man who had come a little late into the class at the seminary. "You stoned the first missionary you ever saw, didn you?" they said. And he hung his head. It was true. "Then you are going t our first missionary," they said, and walked in to be ordained.

The moderator of the presbytery, my father, who happened to be the missionary that man had stoned 16 years earlier, ordained the man who has stoned him. And the church sent him off as its own first missionary, to a strange island off the southern coast where he in turn was stoned when his stood up to preach the Gospel. Power is for witness.

But where is the power? I love the Church and I believe in it. I love our ov Presbyterian segment of the Body of Christ most of all. But where is the power?

At Pentecost, the power in a little group of about 120 men and women sw-3,000 people into the fellowship in one day. In the American church, accord to statistics I saw some years ago, it takes 54 Christians working a whole to bring just one new member into the church.

Among Presbyterians we lose more than we win. Has the power gone?

Since coming back from Korea I have found more life in this old church the some give it credit for, but I must admit our statistical record is utterly appalling. Now, I do, not worship statistics of church growth. Nevertheless compare the Presbyterian record in America with Korea.

In 1974 there were one-and-a-half million Presbyterians in Korea. Ten yea later, in 1984, there were between four and five million. Doesn't this say something about the distribution of spiritual power in the two churches, he and there? While Korean Presbyterians were tripling their membership, we American Presbyterians were losing about a third of ours, and cutting back the number of our overseas missionaries.

Has the power gone? Forty years ago I was so discouraged about the Presbyterian church that I wrote to my father, "I don't think I'll go to Princ I'm not sure I want to be a Presbyterian."

My wise father wrote back: "Sam, you'll find a lot of good Christians outsice the Presbyterian church and a lot of good missionaries. But before you mayour decision, why don't you look around and see if you can't find some playhere the Holy Spirit is working through the Presbyterians. And if the Holy Spirit can work through the Presbyterian church, perhaps you can."

There is still power in our church. There is power because our Lord has promised power and the Spirit still works in the church. There comes a time stop criticizing the church and try praying for it. Not for numbers, for more Presbyterians, but for the power Jesus promised-power for witness, power mission.

When the power comes, don't keep it for Presbyterians. Take it out across world in mission.

Two thirds of this world does not have enough to eat today. It goes to bec

hungry every night. *Mission is feeding*. Most of the world suffers and lies in pain. *Mission is healing*. More than half of the adult world is blind; it does know how to read. *Mission is opening the eyes and teaching to read*. Most the world is oppressed by unjust powers. *Mission is liberation*.

So go forth and heal and feed and liberate. We can and must join in the struggle against all the world's ills, hunger, sickness, suffering, slavery-bu will not complete the mission. The greatest need will still be unmet.

When the power comes, we must also go forth and preach the good news. Two-thirds of the world is still without an effectual knowledge of our Lord Savior Jesus Christ.

The power is already here! The trouble is with us. We do not call for the p_i so we don't get it. Then we complain that we don't have it.

We are more naive even than the Arab chieftains which Lawrence of Arabi brought with him to the Paris Peace Conference. These men of the desert amazed at many things, but nothing astonished them half so much as the running water in their hotel rooms. They knew the scarcity of water and it value. Yet, there it was to be had by the turning of a tap, free and seemin exhaustless.

When they prepared to leave Paris, Lawrence found them trying to detach faucets so that out in their dry deserts they might always have water. He to explain that behind the flowing taps were huge reservoirs, and that witl this supply the faucets were useless. But the Arabs insisted. They were su that the magic instruments would give them water forever.

Are we not even more credulous in our Christian lives? Those chieftans expected water from unattached faucets. We look for water to run from a closed tap. In the Holy Spirit are deep reservoirs of power, wells of water springing up into everlasting life. But the Holy Spirit cannot flow through ϵ closed tap. He cannot work though an unyielded life.

So open up the taps. But watch out. The promise is for any who will believ and receive. And when by faith and grace we turn the taps and the power flows, watch out! The Spirit works when, where, and how He pleases.

When the power comes, it is not you but the Spirit who controls the temperature. You may pray for the fire, and the Spirit may choose to senc cool, refreshing rain and a still, small voice. Or you may think you will be I comfortable with the still, small voice, and, as in Korea, there may come t fire and the earthquake.

But don't ask me again, "Where's the power?" It is already here.

It is the same Spirit, the same promise, and the same power that has alw been here. For Jesus is still saying to his disciples: "You shall receive power when the Spirit comes ... and you shall be my witnesses." Witnesses to the ends of this dry and thirsty, this sick and hungry, this oppressed, frighten lost world. You shall be witnesses that the Savior has come and will come again-and that the Spirit is already here.

This article was printed in Good News (May/June 1986).

Good News Home | Topical Archive Main Page

LIBRARY

HOME MAGAZINE NEWS PRAYER HOME OFFICE RENEWAL



Topical

abc def ghi jkl mno pgr stu vwxyz

Topics

Abortion
Divorce
Evangelism
General Conference
Goddess Worship
Holy Spirit
Homosexuality
Methodism
Mission
Prayer
Re-Imagining

Recovering the Power o Pentecost

by Samuel Moffett

The United Methodist Church isn't the only denomination in need of spiriturenewal. Over the last decade, the Presbyterian Church U.S.A. has lost approximately 25% of its total membership. Spiritual vitality has been low Last year several evangelically-oriented renewal groups within the church a National Congress on Renewal attended by 7, 000 Presbyterians. A tremendously exciting and hopeful address was given at the meeting by R Samuel Moffett, a former missionary to China and Korea. His remarks hav great relevance to United Methodists.

The greatest of all the great promises of God is found in the first chapter (Acts: "You shall receive *power* when the Holy Spirit has come upon you; a you shall by My witnesses both in Jerusalem, and in all Judea and Samaria even to the remotest part of the earth" (Acts 1:8, *italics mine*).

Strictly speaking, no single promise of God is any greater than His other promises. But to me this is the greatest, because it is what I need most. Y see, I'm a Presbyterian. I have order and decency up to here. But where's power?

This promise is also the greatest of the five forms in which the Great Commission comes to us. Oh, I know that, strictly speaking, no one form God's commissioning is greater than any other. But to me this is the great because it is the one I need most. You see, I'm a Presbyterian. I have sentimental, upper-middle-class virtues up to here. But where's the power

Where's the power to propel us out of our comfortable, encapsulated chur and across the world? Our members are leaving; our missions declining. Where's the power? If the power is what God promises, isn't that what we most today? So, let's begin with *the promise*.

"You shall receive power . . . " I'm not so sure that Jesus' disciples really believed that promise. They were too much like us. They were about as unrenewed, powerless, unfocused, and puzzled a group as are most of us American Christians. And like us Presbyterians, those disciples were losing members.

A few days before, they had been 12. Now there were only 11 in the inner circle. That's a worse percentage than even we Presbyterians can boast. A 40,000 members a year, isn't it, that we're losing? One out of every 80 or The disciples lost 1 out of 12!

So, disturbed and anxious, they turned to Jesus. But the first question the asked Him was the wrong question. All they wanted to know was, When d the revolution begin? When will you bring in the Kingdom? (i.e., Acts 1:6) They were still thinking in political terms, which is all right in its place, but

was neither the place nor the time for that question and Jesus turned ther away.

"It is not for you to know," He said (Acts 1:7). But because He loved them gave them a promise, a promise centered in a different kind of kingdom." shall receive power... when the Holy Spirit has come, and you will be my witnesses ... to the remotest part of the earth." Then He left them.

He left them with many questions unanswered. He left them still unrenew still puzzled, still powerless. Yet, isn't that how renewal always begins-not power for the ambitious and powerful but with a promise of power for thos who have never had power, or have lost it?

Whether or not, at that moment when Jesus was about to leave them, the disappointed little group of disciples believed His promise, they did the rig thing. They trudged back down the hill into the city and "with one accord devoted themselves to prayer" (Acts 1:14, RSV).

Gave themselves to prayer? Weren't there more important things to engage the attention of serious men and women? There were rumors of a conspiral against the life of the emperor Tiberias in Rome-a good time for a revoluti and of a threatened famine spreading in North Africa. There also was newsthe German barbarians were raiding again across the Rhine.

Most people still think those are the kinds of issues that matter, and they matter. But all the disciples did was go home and pray!

Prayer, says our secular world, is merely the Christian's escape from realit It's a cop-out.

That is what my wife was told at a Presbyterian meeting not long after we came back from Korea to America. She was speaking about the vitality an enthusiasm of the Korean Christians in prayer, singing, and personal with One woman asked her, "What did you do for the people imprisoned by the government over there?"

It was a good question. It needed to be asked, and it was not asked unkin Eileen paused and answered, "Well, there wasn't too much we could do. W thought many had been imprisoned unjustly, and we visited them when w could. And we prayed for them regularly." That was when someone whispi audibly, "Cop-out!"

At times I, too, have been more tempted to revolution than to prayer. And apparently it was revolution the disciples were thinking about when they a Jesus, "Lord, is it at this time you are restoring the kingdom to Israel?" Ye they gathered in the upper room, remembering how Jesus had answered I instead of waging revolution they prayed.

When I was arrested in China during the revolution there, I wondered what was going to happen to me. During that time, the knowledge that Christia were praying for me encouraged and sustained me far more than any hop might have had that another revolution, a counter-revolution, would come make everything all right.

Here is the paradox. Revolutions make the headlines. Prayer doesn't. But world has forgotten about the plot against Tiberias that set tongues waggi Jerusalem while the disciples prayed. The world has forgotten the border across the Rhine. But the world has never forgotten that credulous, leader outcast little band which trudged down the hill into Jerusalem-and took tir

pray.

There was a time in Korea, about 80 years ago, when missionaries and Kc Christians were so discouraged about the prospects for the future of the cl there that they began to wonder if their 20 years of pioneering ministry have all in vain. The country was losing its independence to the Japanese. missionaries were tired. The Korean Christians, like the Ephesian church described in Revelation, seemed to have lost the love they had at first.

Then it was that a Canadian medical doctor called them not to give up, bu pray. The little prayer meetings and Bible studies he started were the beginnings of the great Korean revival of 1904-1908. What happened?

What happened was *power*. This leads to my second point. *God keeps His promises*.

God promised power to the powerless disciples on the hill outside Jerusale They went back into the city and prayed, and the power came. Suddenly, Pentecost, "there came from heaven a noise like a violent, rushing wind .. fire . . . " (Acts 2:2,3).

The Spirit came, and life flamed again within them, as in coals dropped from fireplace, apparently dead but breaking open in a shower of sparks. The Sprought flame back into the blue, bleak hearts of the disciples.

I must confess that the record of that first Pentecostal wind and fire and n tongues-is a disconcerting passage to read today in a group of Presbyteria like this. This account smacks too much of hot gospellers and holy rollers quakers and shakers and enthusiasts. It doesn't describe all that is best at most beautiful in Christian worship, does it?

Yet, the more I read of the history of the Church, the more I am impresse with the following fact: Some of the most creative and effective periods in Church have been precisely those periods when the Gospel was "hot," not when it was most respectable.

If I were to pick my favorite spot in medieval Romanism I would choose the days of that gentle madman, St. Francis of Assisi. Some of the greatest moments of Protestantism were in the times of Puritan enthusiasts and "g awakeners" like Jonathan Edwards. Too hot for Yale! (I'm glad Presbyteria Princeton took him in.)

Quakers really quaked once, in the days of their intense beginnings. And wethodism burned its way into the history, of England and America, strongen and women roared and shook under the power of preachers like Wes and Whitefield and Peter Cartwright.

Long-haired dandies would come to Cartwright's camp meetings. "They ca to scoff," he wrote, "but they stayed to pray." Suddenly seized by the pow their backs would bend almost to the breaking. Then, the tension suddenly released by the peace of the Spirit, they would straighten up with such for that their long hair cracked like whips.

Strange, bizarre, and to me, a little frightening. Yet, as someone has said, Church of Christ has had more power when the world thought it was drunl at Pentecost, than when the world thought it was dead." Before we condet the outer extravagances of those events it might be well to ask two questifirst, is all that excitement necessary for renewal? And second, when it do occur, what is the inward, quickening power that produces it?

The answer to the first question is no. Not all revivals are fiery, and renew can be as quiet as an inner thought. Almost always, revival and renewal b with prayer, and the best prayers are usually quiet.

The revival of 1857 in New York, for example, was just a prayer meeting. fire. No shouting. A city missionary in lower Manhattan passed out handbill inviting anyone interested to join him on Wednesdays for a prayer meeting. The first day, six people came. Within six months, 10,000 New York businessmen were gathering every day for prayer. Within two years a mill converts had joined American churches. Just a prayer meeting, but what a prayer meeting!

The Great Revival in Korea was another matter. There was nothing low-ke about that. It began with quiet prayer meetings, yes. But it exploded into waves of wailing, weeping, and writhing in agonies of confession.

The American missionaries were terrified. They stopped the meeting. They were "frightened by the presence of a Power which could work ... wonders one of my father's colleagues described it 031air, *Gold in Korea*, p. 64). By meetings could not be stopped. They went on and on, and gradually even most traditional-minded missionaries recognized that, however disturbing manifestations might appear, the power was not to be feared, and could n resisted. It came from God.

However, the fire was not the power. The fire was only an outward sign of power. Shouting and weeping are not the power. There is no one way to describe the power. Sometimes it shakes and shouts. Sometimes the quie words describe it best, words like *cleansing*, joy and *love*. These three ingredients were present in the power that came at Pentecost.

The power was not the wind and the fire. The power was the Spirit who, we the Father and the Son, is the One God who creates, sustains, and energing all that ever was or is or will be. The Spirit is the promised Power. But an important practical question remains: What is the power for?

The power is for witness.

What happened when the power came that first day of Pentecost? Peter w out to preach. Pentecost was more than an experience of renewal. It was than a season of rejoicing. It was a call to mission.

Tradition tells us that every one of the 11 disciples at Pentecost became a missionary. James went to the Arabs, Andrew to the Goths, Peter to the Romans, and so on. Even doubting Thomas, according to the tradition, we to far-off India.

Has not the Lord said, "You shall receive power ... and you shall be my witnesses ... to the remotest part of the earth"? Power is for witness, and witness is for the whole world.

What happened when the power came in Korea back in 1907?

It was during that revival that the first Korean presbytery was formed. At first presbytery meeting, the first seven graduates of the little theological seminary, which had been founded a few years earlier, were ordained.

They were awed and a little daunted by thoughts of what this would mean terms of the spiritual responsibilities their ordination would bring to each (

them. Then another thought occurred to them. Just as they were about to come into the meeting one of them said, "We will be the first Korean mini: of the Korean church. But a real church has more than ministers. It has missionaries."

They looked hard at a burly young man who had come a little late into the class at the seminary. "You stoned the first missionary you ever saw, didn you?" they said. And he hung his head. It was true. "Then you are going t our first missionary," they said, and walked in to be ordained.

The moderator of the presbytery, my father, who happened to be the missionary that man had stoned 16 years earlier, ordained the man who hastoned him. And the church sent him off as its own first missionary, to a strange island off the southern coast where he in turn was stoned when his stood up to preach the Gospel. Power is for witness.

But where is the power? I love the Church and I believe in it. I love our ov Presbyterian segment of the Body of Christ most of all. But where is the power?

At Pentecost, the power in a little group of about 120 men and women sw-3,000 people into the fellowship in one day. In the American church, accort o statistics I saw some years ago, it takes 54 Christians working a whole to bring just one new member into the church.

Among Presbyterians we lose more than we win. Has the power gone?

Since coming back from Korea I have found more life in this old church the some give it credit for, but I must admit our statistical record is utterly appalling. Now, I do, not worship statistics of church growth. Nevertheless compare the Presbyterian record in America with Korea.

In 1974 there were one-and-a-half million Presbyterians in Korea. Ten yea later, in 1984, there were between four and five million. Doesn't this say something about the distribution of spiritual power in the two churches, he and there? While Korean Presbyterians were tripling their membership, we American Presbyterians were losing about a third of ours, and cutting back the number of our overseas missionaries.

Has the power gone? Forty years ago I was so discouraged about the Presbyterian church that I wrote to my father, "I don't think I'll go to Princ I'm not sure I want to be a Presbyterian."

My wise father wrote back: "Sam, you'll find a lot of good Christians outsice the Presbyterian church and a lot of good missionaries. But before you mayour decision, why don't you look around and see if you can't find some playmere the Holy Spirit is working through the Presbyterians. And if the Holy Spirit can work through the Presbyterian church, perhaps you can."

There is still power in our church. There is power because our Lord has promised power and the Spirit still works in the church. There comes a tim stop criticizing the church and try praying for it. Not for numbers, for more Presbyterians, but for the power Jesus promised-power for witness, power mission.

When the power comes, don't keep it for Presbyterians. Take it out across world in mission.

Two thirds of this world does not have enough to eat today. It goes to bec

hungry every night. Mission is feeding. Most of the world suffers and lies in pain. Mission is healing. More than half of the adult world is blind; it doesn know how to read. Mission is opening the eyes and teaching to read. Most the world is oppressed by unjust powers. Mission is liberation.

So go forth and heal and feed and liberate. We can and must join in the struggle against all the world's ills, hunger, sickness, suffering, slavery-bu will not complete the mission. The greatest need will still be unmet.

When the power comes, we must also go forth and preach the good news. Two-thirds of the world is still without an effectual knowledge of our Lord Savior Jesus Christ.

The power is already here! The trouble is with us. We do not call for the $p_{\rm i}$ so we don't get it. Then we complain that we don't have it.

We are more naive even than the Arab chieftains which Lawrence of Arabi brought with him to the Paris Peace Conference. These men of the desert amazed at many things, but nothing astonished them half so much as the running water in their hotel rooms. They knew the scarcity of water and it value. Yet, there it was to be had by the turning of a tap, free and seemin exhaustless.

When they prepared to leave Paris, Lawrence found them trying to detach faucets so that out in their dry deserts they might always have water. He to explain that behind the flowing taps were huge reservoirs, and that witl this supply the faucets were useless. But the Arabs insisted. They were su that the magic instruments would give them water forever.

Are we not even more credulous in our Christian lives? Those chieftans expected water from unattached faucets. We look for water to run from a closed tap. In the Holy Spirit are deep reservoirs of power, wells of water springing up into everlasting life. But the Holy Spirit cannot flow through a closed tap. He cannot work though an unyielded life.

So open up the taps. But watch out. The promise is for any who will believ and receive. And when by faith and grace we turn the taps and the power flows, watch out! The Spirit works when, where, and how He pleases.

When the power comes, it is not you but the Spirit who controls the temperature. You may pray for the fire, and the Spirit may choose to senc cool, refreshing rain and a still, small voice. Or you may think you will be comfortable with the still, small voice, and, as in Korea, there may come t fire and the earthquake.

But don't ask me again, "Where's the power?" It is already here.

It is the same Spirit, the same promise, and the same power that has alwabeen here. For Jesus is still saying to his disciples: "You shall receive power when the Spirit comes ... and you shall be my witnesses." Witnesses to the ends of this dry and thirsty, this sick and hungry, this oppressed, frighten lost world. You shall be witnesses that the Savior has come and will come again-and that the Spirit is already here.

This article was printed in Good News (May/June 1986).

Good News Home | Topical Archive Main Page

Where's The Pomer

EXHIBIT A

SPEAKER AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into by and between
, having an address at
, hereinafter referred to as "Speaker", and Presbyterian
Congress on Renewal, a nonprofit corporation of the State of Texas, hereinafter
referred to as "Congress",
WITNESSETH:
WHEREAS, Congress is in process of arranging for a meeting to be held in
Dallas, Texas during the period January 7 through January 10, 1985, under the name
The Presbyterian Congress on Renewal, hereinafter referred to as The Meeting; and
WHEREAS, Speaker desires to and has been invited to speak and/or
otherwise participate at The Meeting; and
WHEREAS, both Congress and Speaker desire to obtain wide publicity of
The Meeting and program presented thereat;
NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the foregoing and of the
mutual promises hereinafter stated, the parties agree as follows:
1. Congress agrees to pay Speaker his or her reasonable travel and per
diem expenses while attending the Congress.
2. Speaker agrees to speak and/or participate in said Congress to the
extent of and at the times which are mutually agreeable to the parties.
3. Speaker hereby grants to Congress the right to tape or otherwise
record and publish the speeches, addresses and/or other participation of Speaker at

4. Speaker retains his ownership of the copyright on the Speech material

The Meeting (hereinafter after referred to as Speech Material).

5. Speaker grants

Speech Material or to allow others to use the Speech Material in all formats (including, but not limited to, books, audio-visual presentations, or other forms of printed, audio, or audio-visual material), which rights are granted throughout the world and for the full term of any protection that may be granted thereon and any renewals thereof.

5. Speaker agrees that Congress or its assignees shall own any copyright on any and all products created with the Speech Material as to all formats in which they are presented as to all renewals thereof.

- 6. Speaker agrees that Congress and its assignees shall have the right to use Speaker's name, likeness and biographical material in connection with promotion of said Meeting, and advertising and marketing the Speech Material.
- 7. Speaker represents to Congress that he or she is not a party to any agreement which is in conflict with this agreement or which would prevent the Congress or its assignees from using the Speech Material in any format whatsoever throughout the world.
- 8. Speaker represents that he or she is the sole author and owner of the Speech Material that he or she will present at The Meeting, that it has never been published or presented before, that it will contain no infringing or other unlawful matter, and that Speaker will cooperate with the Congress or its assignees to insure Congress or its assignees' complete enjoyment in the rights in the Speech Material.

SIGNED this _	day of _	, 1984.
---------------	----------	---------

Speaker
Presbyterian Congress on Renewal
Ву:

EXHIBIT A

SPEAKER AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into by and between SAMUEL HUGH MOFFETT
, having an address at 31 Alexander Street
Princeton, N.J. 08540 , hereinafter referred to as "Speaker", and Presbyterian
Congress on Renewal, a nonprofit corporation of the State of Texas, hereinafter
referred to as "Congress",

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, Congress is in process of arranging for a meeting to be held in Dallas, Texas during the period January 7 through January 10, 1985, under the name The Presbyterian Congress on Renewal, hereinafter referred to as The Meeting; and

WHEREAS, Speaker desires to and has been invited to speak and/or otherwise participate at The Meeting; and

WHEREAS, both Congress and Speaker desire to obtain wide publicity of The Meeting and program presented thereat;

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the foregoing and of the mutual promises hereinafter stated, the parties agree as follows:

- 1. Congress agrees to pay Speaker his or her reasonable travel and per diem expenses while attending the Congress.
- 2. Speaker agrees to speak and/or participate in said Congress to the extent of and at the times which are mutually agreeable to the parties.
- 3. Speaker hereby grants to Congress the right to tape or otherwise record and publish the speeches, addresses and/or other participation of Speaker at

 The Meeting (hereinaster after referred to as Speech Material), for use as described in Paragraph 5.
 - 4. Speaker retains ownership of copyright on the speech material.

SAM

5. Speaker grants to Congress the right to release the written or taped speech material for use in the official volume of collected speeches and addresses at the Congress.

further agrees that Songress shall have the right to use the three to we the Speech Material is all formate (including, boker andis visual presentations or other forms of printed, andio, orection that may be greated thereon and any answel oken amoun that Congress as its assig thou are presentedend on to all renewals thereof. 6. Speaker agrees that Congress and its assignees shall have the right to use Speaker's name, likeness and biographical material in connection with promotion of said Meeting, and advertising and marketing the Speech Material. Speaker represents to Congress that he or she is not a party to any agreement which is in conflict with this agreement or which would prevent the Congress or its assignees from using the Speech Material in any format whatsoever throughout the world. 8. Speaker represents that he or she is the sole author and owner of the Speech Material that he or she will present at The Meeting, that it has never been published expressived before, that it will contain no infringing or other unlawful matter, and that Speaker will ecoperate with the Congress or its assignees to insure ussigned has 4 Sam Congress or its assignees' complete enjoyment in the mights in the Speech Material, in SIGNED this 31 day of January, 1984. Samuel High Mu Presbyterian Congress on Renewal * Some g-the material used was just of a servin guen in April to a meeting of Merbyterian chausmatus in Principin. -2-But no right were released. I am planny to release the speech for use in the Semmeny Bulletin, since I represented Princition at the Confession

EXHIBIT A

SPEAKER AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into by and between SAMUEL HUGH MOFFEIT
, having an address at 31 Alexander Street
Princeton, N.J. 08540 , hereinafter referred to as "Speaker", and Presbyterian
Congress on Renewal, a nonprofit corporation of the State of Texas, hereinafter
referred to as "Congress",

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, Congress is in process of arranging for a meeting to be held in Dallas, Texas during the period January 7 through January 10, 1985, under the name The Presbyterian Congress on Renewal, hereinafter referred to as The Meeting; and

WHEREAS, Speaker desires to and has been invited to speak and/or otherwise participate at The Meeting; and

WHEREAS, both Congress and Speaker desire to obtain wide publicity of The Meeting and program presented thereat;

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the foregoing and of the mutual promises hereinafter stated, the parties agree as follows:

- 1. Congress agrees to pay Speaker his or her reasonable travel and per diem expenses while attending the Congress.
- 2. Speaker agrees to speak and/or participate in said Congress to the extent of and at the times which are mutually agreeable to the parties.
- 3. Speaker hereby grants to Congress the right to tape or otherwise record and publish the speeches, addresses and/or other participation of Speaker at The Meeting (hereinafter after referred to as Speech Material), for use as described in Records 5.
 - 4. Speaker retains ownership of copyright on the speech material.

SAM

5. Speaker grants to Congress the right to release the written or taped speech material for use in the official volume of collected speeches and addresses at the Congress.

further agrees that Congress that have the right to use the others to use the Speech Material in all formate (including, books, audie visual presentations, or other forms of printed, audio, which rights are granted throughout protection that may be granted thereon and paker agrees that Congress or its assigned shall s presented and an to all renewals thereof.

- Speaker agrees that Congress and its assignees shall have the right to 6. use Speaker's name, likeness and biographical material in connection with promotion of said Meeting, and advertising and marketing the Speech Material.
- Speaker represents to Congress that he or she is not a party to any agreement which is in conflict with this agreement or which would prevent the Congress or its assignees from using the Speech Material in any format whatsoever throughout the world. *
- Speaker represents that he or she is the sole author and owner of the 8. Speech Material that he or she will present at The Meeting, that it has never been published an expectated before, that it will contain no infringing or other unlawful matter, and that Speaker will cooperate with the Congress or its assignees to insure Congress or its assignees' complete enjoyment in the mights in the Speech Material, in Paregraph 5. SIGNED this 31 day of January, 1984.

Sum

SAM

Samuel High

Presbyterian Congress on Renewal

* Some g-the material used was part of a serum zwen in April to a meeting.
y Presbyterian chausmatus in Princition. But no rights were released. I am planning to release the speech for use in the Semmeny Bulletin, since I represented Princeton at the Conference



Youth, the Church, and the World

Buck Hill Falls, Pennsylvania - March 30 to April 4, 1970

AUTHOR AND SOUND RECORDINGS AGREEMENT:			
It is agreed that this 2 day of April, 1970, 5 the "Author" and CHRISTIAN LEADERSHIP SEMINARS, Inc. 10036, the "PRODUCER-PUBLISHERS" agree as follows:	but exclusive		
1. The author gronts to the "PRODUCER-PUBLISHERS" excland sell Musin Ahed tolk, or paper covering the same, including foreign transla	usive rights to print, (publish), reproduce		
2.The "PRODUCER -PUBLISHERS" shall furnish the "AUTHOR" with 3 copies of the recording or publication without charge. For additional copies, the recordings will be furnished at a 25% discount, and publications at a 40% discount.			
3. The agreement shall be binding upon the parties hereto assigns, and personal representatives.	named, and upon their heirs, successars,		
4. This agreement as signed in triplicate by both parties th	is date:		
Awtoobe «	Sunnie High Miffett		
	(Authorized Signature) Christian Leadership Seminars, Inc.		

Coordinator: Box 150, Wheaton, Illinois 60187 - 312/665-0630